arXiv:math/0402445v1 [math.OC] 26 Feb 2004

Integral functionals on Sobolev spaces having multiple local minima

BIAGIO RICCERI

If (X,τ) is a topological space, for any $\Psi : X \to]-\infty,+\infty]$, I denote by τ_Ψ the smallest topology on X which contains both τ and the family of sets $\{\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty,r[)\}_{r\in\mathbf{R}}$.

In [2], I have established the following general result:

THEOREM A. - *Let* (X,τ) *be a Hausdorff topological space and* $\Psi : X \to]-\infty,+\infty]$, $\Phi : X \to \mathbf{R}$ *two functions. Assume that there is* $r > \inf_X \Psi$ *such that the set* $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty,r])$ *is compact and first-countable. Moreover, suppose that the function* Φ *is bounded below in* $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty,r])$ *and that the function* $\Psi+\lambda\Phi$ *is sequentially lower semicontinuous for each* $\lambda \geq 0$ *small enough. Finally, assume that the set of all global minima of* Ψ *has at least* k *connected components.*

Then, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ *such that, for each* $\lambda \in]0,\lambda^*[$, *the function* $\Psi+\lambda\Phi$ *has at least* k τ_Ψ*-local minima lying in* $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty,r[)$.

In the context of a systematic series of applications of Theorem A, I intend to present here two multiplicity results about local minima of integrals of the calculus of variations.

In the sequel, Ω will denote a bounded, open and connected subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$ with sufficiently smooth boundary.

Recall that a function $f : \Omega\times\mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}$ is said to be sup-measurable if, for each measurable function $u : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}^m$, the composite function $x \to f(x,u(x))$ is measurable. Following [3], f is said to be a normal integrand if it is $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^m)$-measurable and $f(x,\cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous for a.e. $x\in\Omega$. Here $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^m)$ denote the Lebesgue and the Borel σ-algebras of subsets of Ω and $\mathbf{R}^m$, respectively. Also, f is said to be a Carathéodory function if $f(x,\cdot)$ is continuous for a.e. $x\in\Omega$ and $f(\cdot,y)$ is measurable for every $y\in\mathbf{R}^m$. Note that any Carathéodory function is a normal integrand and that any normal integrand is sup-measurable ([3], pp. 174-175).

The aim of the present paper is to establish the following two results, in the conclusions of which the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is considered with the topology induced by the usual norm $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\int_\Omega(|\nabla u(x)|^p+|u(x)|^p)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$:

THEOREM 1. - *Let* $1<p<n$. *Let* $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ *and* $\psi : \mathbf{R}^n \to [0,+\infty[$ *be two functions, with* $\psi(0)=0$ *and* $\psi(\eta)>0$ *for all* $\eta\in\mathbf{R}^n\setminus\{0\}$, *such that, for every* $\lambda\geq 0$ *small enough, the function* $\psi+\lambda\varphi$ *is convex in* $\mathbf{R}^n$. *Let* $g : \mathbf{R}\to\mathbf{R}$ *be a continuous function such that the set* $g^{-1}(\inf_{\mathbf{R}} g)$ *has at least* k *connected components. Furthermore, let* $\beta : \Omega\times\mathbf{R}\to\mathbf{R}$ *be a normal integrand. Assume that there are* $c>1$, $q\in[p,\frac{pn}{n-p}[$ *and* $\delta\in L^1(\Omega)$ *such that, for a.e.* $x\in\Omega$ *and for every* $(\xi,\eta)\in\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^n$, *one has*

$$\frac{1}{c}(|\eta|^p+|\xi|^p-c^2) \leq \psi(\eta)+g(\xi) \leq c(|\eta|^p+|\xi|^{\frac{pn}{n-p}}+1) \tag{1}$$

and

$$-c(|\eta|^p + |\xi|^q + \delta(x)) \leq \varphi(\eta) + \beta(x,\xi) \leq c(|\eta|^p + |\xi|^{\frac{pn}{n-p}} + \delta(x)) \ . \tag{2}$$

Then, for every $\alpha \in L^\infty(\Omega)$*, with* $\operatorname{ess\,inf}_\Omega \alpha > 0$*, for every sequentially weakly closed set* $X \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ *containing all the constant functions and for every* $r > \inf_{\mathbf{R}} g \|\alpha\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$*, there exists* $\lambda^* > 0$ *such that, for each* $\lambda \in]0, \lambda^*[$*, the restriction to* X *of the functional*

$$u \to \int_\Omega (\psi(\nabla u(x)) + \alpha(x)g(u(x)))dx + \lambda \int_\Omega (\varphi(\nabla u(x)) + \beta(x, u(x)))dx$$

has at least k *local minima lying in the set*

$$\left\{ u \in X : \int_\Omega (\psi(\nabla u(x)) + \alpha(x)g(u(x)))dx < r \right\} \ .$$

THEOREM 2. - *Let* $2 \leq n < p$*. Let* X *be the space of all* $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ *which are harmonic in* Ω*. Let* $\psi : \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \to [0, +\infty[$ *be a Carathéodory function, with* $\psi(x, \xi, 0) = 0$ *and* $\psi(x, \xi, \eta) > 0$ *for a.e.* $x \in \Omega$ *and for every* $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R} \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$*. Let* $g : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ *be a continuous function such that the set* $g^{-1}(\inf_{\mathbf{R}} g)$ *has at least* k *connected components. Furthermore, let* $\beta : \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ *be a normal integrand. Assume that, for some* $c > 1$*, one has*

$$\frac{1}{c}(|\eta|^p + |\xi|^p - c^2) \leq \min\{\psi(x, \xi, \eta), g(\xi)\} \tag{3}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ *and for every* $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$*, and that, for each* $s > 0$*, there exist* $c_s > 0$ *and* $M_s \in L^1(\Omega)$*, such that*

$$-M_s(x) \leq \min\{\psi(x, \xi, \eta), \beta(x, \xi, \eta)\} \leq \max\{\psi(x, \xi, \eta), \beta(x, \xi, \eta)\} \leq M_s(x) + c_s|\eta|^p \tag{4}$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$*, for every* $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n$ *and for every* $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ *satisfying* $|\xi| \leq s$*.*

Then, for every $\alpha \in L^1(\Omega)$*, with* $\operatorname{ess\,inf}_\Omega \alpha > 0$*, and for every* $r > \inf_{\mathbf{R}} g \|\alpha\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$*, there exists* $\lambda^* > 0$ *such that, for each* $\lambda \in]0, \lambda^*[$*, the functional*

$$u \to \int_\Omega (\psi(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) + \alpha(x)g(u(x)))dx + \lambda \int_\Omega \beta(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))dx, \quad u \in X$$

has at least k *local minima lying in the set*

$$\left\{ u \in X : \int_\Omega (\psi(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) + \alpha(x)g(u(x)))dx < r \right\} \ .$$

Let us start proving the following

PROPOSITION 1. - *Let* $\psi : \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \to [0, +\infty[$ *be a sup-measurable function with* $\psi(x, \xi, 0) = 0$ *and* $\psi(x, \xi, \eta) > 0$ *for a.e.* $x \in \Omega$ *and for every* $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R} \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$*.*

Let $g : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ be a Borel function such that the set $g^{-1}(\inf_{\mathbf{R}} g)$ has at least k connected components. Let $\alpha \in L^1(\Omega)$ be a non-negative function. For each $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$, put

$$\Psi(u) = \int_\Omega (\psi(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) + \alpha(x)g(u(x)))dx \ .$$

Then, for every set $X \subseteq W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ which contains the set R of all (equivalence classes of) constant functions, one has

$$\inf_X \Psi = \inf_{\mathbf{R}} g\|\alpha\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$$

and the set

$$\left\{u \in X : \Psi(u) = \inf_{\mathbf{R}} g\|\alpha\|_{L^1(\Omega)}\right\}$$

is contained in R and has at least k connected components in the Euclidean topology of R.

PROOF. For each $u \in X$, we clearly have

$$\Psi(u) \geq \inf_{\mathbf{R}} g\|\alpha\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$$

and that equality holds if u is almost everywhere equal to a constant c such that $g(c) = \inf_{\mathbf{R}} g$. On the other hand, if $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is not almost everywhere equal to a constant, then $|\nabla u| > 0$ in some set of positive measure (recall that Ω is connected), and so $\int_\Omega \psi(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))dx > 0$. From this, it clearly follows that

$$\left\{u \in X : \Psi(u) = \inf_{\mathbf{R}} g\|\alpha\|_{L^1(\Omega)}\right\} = \gamma(g^{-1}(\inf_{\mathbf{R}} g))$$

where γ denotes the mapping that to each $r \in \mathbf{R}$ associates the equivalence class of functions almost everywhere equal in Ω to r. If one considers on R the Euclidean topology, the mapping γ is a homeomorphism between $\mathbf{R}$ and R, and from this the conclusion follows. $\triangle$

Proof of Theorem 1. - Fix $a > 0$ such that the function $\psi + \lambda\varphi$ is convex in $\mathbf{R}^n$ (and hence continuous) for all $\lambda \in [0, a[$. This, of course, implies that φ is continuous too. Now, for each $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, put

$$\Psi(u) = \int_\Omega (\psi(\nabla u(x)) + \alpha(x)g(u(x)))dx$$

and

$$\Phi(u) = \int_\Omega (\varphi(\nabla u(x)) + \beta(x, u(x)))dx \ .$$

From (1) and (2), since $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $L^{\frac{pn}{n-p}}(\Omega)$, it follows that Ψ and Φ are well defined, with finite values, and that Ψ is $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$-continuous, since g is continuous. Fix $\lambda \in [0, a[$. We show that the functional $\Psi + \lambda\Phi$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. Since the functional $u \to \int_\Omega (\psi(\nabla u(x)) + \lambda\varphi(\nabla u(x)))dx$ is weakly lower semicontinuous, being convex and continuous, it is enough to prove that the functional

$u \to \int_\Omega (\alpha(x)g(u(x)) + \lambda\beta(x,u(x)))dx$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. To this end, let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and let $\{u_k\}$ be a any sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ weakly converging to u. Since $q < \frac{pn}{n-p}$, by the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, there is a subsequence $\{u_{k_h}\}$ strongly converging to u in $L^q(\Omega)$. Of course, we may assume that $\lim_{h\to+\infty} u_{k_h}(x) = u(x)$ and that $\sup_{h\in\mathbf{N}} |u_{k_h}(x)|^q \leq \omega(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for a suitable $\omega \in L^1(\Omega)$. Clearly, we have

$$\alpha(x)g(u(x)) + \lambda\beta(x,u(x)) \leq \liminf_{h\to+\infty}(\alpha(x)g(u_{k_h}(x)) + \lambda\beta(x,u_{k_h}(x)))$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. On the other hand, by (1) and (2), there is a suitable $b > 0$ such that

$$-b(\omega(x) + \delta(x) + 1) \leq \alpha(x)g(u_{k_h}(x)) + \lambda\beta(x,u_{k_h}(x))$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $h \in \mathbf{N}$. So, by Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\int_\Omega (\alpha(x)g(u(x)) + \lambda\beta(x,u(x)))dx \leq \int_\Omega \liminf_{h\to+\infty}(\alpha(x)g(u_{k_h}(x)) + \lambda\beta(x,u_{k_h}(x)))dx$$

$$\leq \liminf_{h\to+\infty} \int_\Omega (\alpha(x)g(u_{k_h}(x)) + \lambda\beta(x,u_{k_h}(x)))dx \ ,$$

as desired. Again by (1) and (2), there is a suitable $\theta > 0$ such that, for every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, one has

$$\Psi(u) \geq \frac{\min\{1, \text{ess inf}_\Omega \alpha\}}{c} \|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} - \theta$$

and

$$|\Phi(u)| \leq \theta(\int_\Omega (|\nabla u(x)|^p + |u(x)|^{\frac{pn}{n-p}})dx + 1) \ .$$

So, Φ is bounded in each bounded subset of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and the set $\{u \in X : \Psi(u) \leq r\}$ is weakly compact and metrizable, being a bounded and sequentially weakly closed subset of the reflexive and separable space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Finally, by Proposition 1, $r > \inf_X \Psi$ and the set of all global minima of the functional $\Psi_{|X}$ has at least k connected components in the weak topology, since the relativization of this to R is the Euclidean topology. So, if τ is the relativization to X of the weak topology, we realize that $\Phi_{|X}$ and $\Psi_{|X}$ satisfy all the assumtpions of Theorem A. Therefore, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that, for each $\lambda \in]0, \lambda^*[$, the functional $\Psi_{|X} + \lambda\Phi_{|X}$ has at least k τ_Ψ-local minima lying in $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[) \cap X$. But, since Ψ is $\|.\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$-continuous, the topology τ_Ψ is weaker than the relative $\|.\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$-topology, and so the above mentioned τ_Ψ-local minima of $\Psi_{|X} + \lambda\Phi_{|X}$ are local minima of this functional in the latter topology, as claimed. $\triangle$

Proof of Theorem 2. - For each $u \in X$, put

$$\Psi(u) = \int_\Omega (\psi(x,u(x),\nabla u(x)) + \alpha(x)g(u(x)))dx$$

and

$$\Phi(u) = \int_\Omega \beta(x,u(x),\nabla u(x)))dx \ .$$

Since $p > n$, $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. From this and from (4), it follows that Ψ and Φ are well defined, with finite values. We are now going to apply Theorem A taking as τ the topology induced by the norm $\|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} |u|$. We prove that Ψ and Φ are sequentially lower semicontinuous. We do that for Φ only, the other case being analogous. So, let $u \in X$ and let $\{u_k\}$ be a sequence in X converging to u. By a classical property of harmonic functions ([1], p. 16), the sequence $\{\nabla u_k(x)\}$ converges to $\nabla u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, one has $\sup_{k\in\mathbf{N}} \|u_k\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} < +\infty$. Thus, if we apply (4) taking $s = \sup_{k\in\mathbf{N}} \|u_k\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}$, we get

$$-M_s(x) \leq \beta(x, u_k(x), \nabla u_k(x))$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus, we can apply Fatou's lemma, obtaining

$$\Phi(u) \leq \int_\Omega \liminf_{k\to+\infty} \beta(x, u_k(x), \nabla u_k(x)) \leq \liminf_{k\to+\infty} \Phi(u_k) \ ,$$

as desired. Let us also prove that Ψ is $\|.\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$-continuous. So, let $w \in X$ and let $\{w_k\}$ be a sequence in X with $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \|w_k - w\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0$. Hence, $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \|w_k - w\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} = 0$ and there are $\omega \in L^1(\Omega)$ and a subsequence $\{w_{k_h}\}$ such that $\{\nabla w_{k_h}(x)\}$ converges to $\nabla w(x)$ and $\sup_{h\in\mathbf{N}} |w_{k_h}(x)|^p \leq \omega(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. By continuity, we get

$$\lim_{h\to+\infty} (\psi(x, w_{k_h}(x), \nabla w_{k_h}(x)) + \alpha(x) g(w_{k_h}(x))) = \psi(x, w(x), \nabla w(x)) + \alpha(x) g(w(x))$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. On the other hand, applying (4) with $s = \sup_{h\in\mathbf{N}} \|w_{k_h}\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}$, we get

$$|\psi(x, w_{k_h}(x), \nabla w_{k_h}(x)) + \alpha(x) g(w_{k_h}(x))| \leq M_s(x) + c_s \omega(x) + \alpha(x) \sup_{|\xi|\leq s} |g(\xi)|$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $h \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence, we can apply the dominated converge theorem, obtaining $\lim_{h\to+\infty} \Psi(w_{k_h}) = \Psi(w)$, as desired. Now, we prove that $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$ is compact. Since we are in a metric setting, this is equivalent to prove that $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$ is sequentially compact. Thus, let $\{v_k\}$ be any sequence in $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$. By (3), we get a suitable $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\nu \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} - \frac{1}{\nu} \leq \Psi(u)$$

for all $u \in X$. So, the sequence $\{v_k\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. This implies that there is a subsequence $\{v_{k_h}\}$ weakly converging in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to some v. Consequently, by compact embedding, the sequence $\{v_{k_h}\}$ converges strongly to v in $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. By another classical property of harmonic functions ([1], p. 16), the function v turns out to be harmonic in Ω, and hence $v \in X$. On the other hand, by the lower semicontinuity of Ψ, we have $\Psi(v) \leq \liminf_{h\to+\infty} \Psi(v_{k_h}) \leq r$, and so $v \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$, as desired. Also, note that Φ is bounded below in $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$ as it is lower semicontinuous. Finally, by Proposition 1, $r > \inf_X \Psi$ and the set of all global minima of the functional Ψ has at least k connected components, since the relativization of τ to R is the Euclidean topology. At this point, all the assumptions of Theorem A are satisfied, and hence there exists $\lambda^* > 0$

such that, for each $\lambda \in]0, \lambda^*[$, the functional $\Psi + \lambda\Phi$ has at least k τ_Ψ-local minima lying in $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)$. But, since Ψ is $\|.\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$-continuous, the topology τ_Ψ is weaker than the $\|.\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$- topology, and so the above mentioned τ_Ψ-local minima of $\Psi + \lambda\Phi$ are local minima of this functional in the latter topology, as claimed. $\triangle$

REMARK. - In both Theorems 1 and 2 the key assumption is that the set of all global minima of g has at least k connected components. Knowing simply that this set is infinite is not useful in order to the multiplicity of local minima of the considered functionals. In this connection, for $p > 1$, consider the function g defined by

$$g(\xi) = \begin{cases} |\xi|^p & \text{if } \xi < 0 \\ \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi \in [0,1] \\ \\ (\xi - 1)^p & \text{if } \xi > 1 \ . \end{cases}$$

So, $g^{-1}(\inf_{\mathbf{R}} g) = [0,1]$ and $\lim_{|\xi|\to+\infty} \frac{g(\xi)}{|\xi|^p} > 0$. Nevertheless, for each $\lambda > 0$, the functional

$$u \to \int_\Omega (|\nabla u(x)|^p + g(u(x)))dx + \lambda \int_\Omega |u(x)|^p dx$$

is strictly convex, and so its restriction to any convex subset of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ has at most one local minimum.

References

[1] S. AXLER, P. BOURDON and W. RAMEY, *Harmonic Function Theory*, Springer, 2001.

[2] B. RICCERI, *Sublevel sets and global minima of coercive functionals and local minima of their perturbations*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., to appear.

[3] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, *Integral functionals, normal integrands and measurable selections*, in *Nonlinear Operators and the Calculus of Variations*, 157-207, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 543, Springer, 1976.

Department of Mathematics
University of Catania
Viale A. Doria 6
95125 Catania
Italy
e-mail: ricceri@dmi.unict.it